Eagleton Outline- Anna VanSeveren

Overall thesis: Literature is not something that is objectively determined; it is based on valuejudgements that both vary with history and relate to social ideologies. Literature supports these social ideologies and makes it so groups can exercise power over the social construct.

Argument 1:

- Literature is writing that is fictional
- Fact vs. real
- Imaginative writing

Counterargument 1:

- The distinction between fact and fiction is not black and white
- Example of how the Bible can be viewed as fact by some people and fiction by others; it's not a universal thing
- Similarly, if literature was seen as factual writing, it would exclude a lot of fictional writing
- Superman example: it's fictional but we don't see it as literature → similar to romance novels

Argument 2: at least two paragraphs

- Literature uses language in peculiar ways \rightarrow estrangement
- Russian formalists
 - Defamiliarization
 - Viewed all literature as material object (see: devices)
 - Thought that criticism should focus on how texts worked, not on the artful impact of a work – literature was not a reflection of social reality nor did it hold any huge truth
 - Didn't want to see literature as an expression of an author's mind
 - Devices: imagery, syntax, meter, etc.
 - What these had in common was what distinguished literature from nonliterature ("language made strange") – special language v. ordinary language

Counterargument 2:

- In order to spot a deviation of language, you have to be able to know where it is deviating from you have to know what the "normal" language is
- There is no "normal" language, no common language shared by everyone
- One person's normal language is not the same as someone else's
- Context is what tells someone that something is literary
- There is no writing that cannot be read as "strange"

• Formalists are actually looking at literature like poetry

Argument 3:

- Literature is non-pragmatic; it serves no practical purpose, but instead refers to a general state of affairs
- We don't read literature to get anything from it
- Leaves the definition up to how someone decides to read
- "Non-pragmatic discourse"

Counterargument 3:

- Practicality of literature is considered important to the effect of it
- This definition of literature is not objective; it leaves the reader to define it by how they decide to read it
- Pieces of writing can be intended to be non-pragmatic, but people still might not read them that way

Argument 4:

• Literature is any writing that someone values highly or thinks is good

Counterargument 4:

- Good, except that you have to acknowledge that literature is not objective and that pretty much anything can be considered literature
- Also have to acknowledge the fact that something that is considered literature right now might not be considered literature forever
- Too variable- based on what's good or bad (so technically there is no bad literature)

Ideology- THESIS

- Power structure of society that determines what we believe to a degree
- See quotes on page 13
- By adding ideology to value, it means that we value that by which we are told we need to value
- Practical criticism- don't mention/take too long on
- Ideology is an overarching thing
- There is no essence of literature it doesn't exist to the extent that an insect does
- We're conditioned and controlled by those who are in charge
- Left to your devices, you will like what you value, not what society values

Stages of Eagleton's thought:

1. If there is something called literary theory, then there has to be something called literature